UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA STATESBORO DIVISION

JAMES STATON,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.) CV619-1	12
ADAMS, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

Plaintiff has failed to keep the Court apprised of his current address in violation of S.D. Ga. L.R. 11.1 ("Each attorney and pro se litigant has a continuing obligation to apprise the Court of any address change."). See doc. 9. He has also failed to return the necessary forms to proceed in forma pauperis, as ordered. See doc. 8. This Court has the authority to prune cases from its dockets where parties have failed to comply with its Orders. See L.R. 41(b); see Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630–31 (1962) (courts have the inherent authority to dismiss claims for lack of prosecution); Mingo v. Sugar Cane Growers Co-op, 864 F.2d 101, 102 (11th Cir. 1989); Jones v. Graham, 709 F.2d 1457, 1458 (11th Cir. 1983); Floyd v. United States, CV491-277 (S.D. Ga. June 10, 1992). Accordingly, this case should be dismissed for

plaintiff's failure to provide the Court with an updated address and failure to prosecute this action.

This Report and Recommendation (R&R) is submitted to the district judge assigned to this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and this Court's Local Rule 72.3. Within 14 days of service, any party may file written objections to this R&R with the Court and serve a copy on all parties. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations." Any request for additional time to file objections should be filed with the Clerk for consideration by the assigned district judge.

After the objections period has ended, the Clerk shall submit this R&R together with any objections to the assigned district judge. The district judge will review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The parties are advised that failure to timely file objections will result in the waiver of rights on appeal. 11th Cir. R. 3-1; see Symonette v. V.A. Leasing Corp., 648 F. App'x 787, 790 (11th Cir. 2016); Mitchell v. United States, 612 F. App'x 542, 545 (11th Cir. 2015).

SO REPORTED AND RECOMMENDED, this <u>9th</u> day of April, 2021.

CHRISTOPHER L. RAY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA